WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

٧.

٧.				
Danie	el Sanchez-Rodriguez	Case Number:	15-9168MJ	
n accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), the issue of detention has been submitted to the Court. Defendant was present and represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant is a serious flight isk and order the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.				
FINDINGS OF FACT find by a preponderance of the evidence that:				
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offe	charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces remove Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the juris deported or otherwise removed.	herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs nt, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the	ntacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforce	ement contact by fleeing from	law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years impris	sonment.	
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.				
	CONCLUS	SIONS OF LAW		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will f No condition or combination of conditions will		rance of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECTIONS REC	SARDING DETENTION		
n a corrections bending appeal order of a court	fendant is committed to the custody of the Attor facility separate, to the extent practicable, from . The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable of the United States or on request of an attorner the defendant to the United States Marshall	n persons awaiting or serving e opportunity for private consu ey for the Government, the pe I for the purpose of an appear	sentences or being held in custody ultation with defense counsel. On erson in charge of the corrections	
J		IIRD PARTY RELEASE	int Count it in any more than the state of	
o deliver a copy District Court. From the date of	RDERED that should an appeal of this detention of the motion for review/reconsideration to Propursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effection from the copy of this order or after the oral the district court. Failure to timely file objection RIM.P.	etrial Services at least one da ve December 1, 2009, Defend order is stated on the record	y prior to the hearing set before the dant shall have fourteen (14) days within which to file specific written	
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to noterview and investigate the potential third party custodian.				
DATE: <u>Jur</u>	ne 11, 2015		Willet	
			Eileen S. Willett States Magistrate Judge	